TOP SECRET

Declassified in	Part - Sanitized Co	by Approved	for Rele	ase 20	13/08/2	1 : CIA-	RDP86T00268R0	00800080087-3	
•		SIGNATURE	RECOR	D AND	COVER S	SHEEı		A STATE	
DOCUMENT	DESCRIPTION						REGISTRY		
SOURCE						CIA CONTROL NO.			
	0/00/						1457	8	
DOC. NO.							DATE DOCUMENT R	ECEIVED	
DOC. DATE	70655								
COPY NO.							LOGGED BY	ł	
NUMBER OF PAGES									
	none								
is downgraded, personnel and and/or release	is form will be placed or classified Top Sec destroyed, or transmithose individuals whos the attached Top Secred. Each individual wumns.	ret within the tted outside of e official dut et material wi	CIA an of CIA. ies rela il sign	d will Access te to t this fo	remain att to Top Sec he matter. rm and inc	tached to cret mat Top licate	o the document until ter is limited to To Secret Control Offi period of custody	I such time as it op Secret Control icers who receive	
REFERRED TO	REC	IVED RELEASED					SEEN BY		
OFFICE	SIGNATURE	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	SIGN	ATURE AND OFFICE	DATE	
00/	-							50X1	
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		50X1	
			-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
				-					
NOTICE OF DETAC spaces below as	CHMENT: When this for nd transmitted to Cent	m is detached ral Top Secret	from To Control	p Secre for red	t material	it sha.	ll be completed in t	he appropriate	
DOWNGRADED To		BY (Signatu		ROYED		то	DISPATCHED (OUTSIDE CIA) TO		
BY (Signature)		WITNESSED BY	(Signat	иге)		BY (BY (Signature)		
DATE		DATE	DATE				CE	DATE	

Cy # 4

6 February 1959

EEMORANDUM FOR: Admiral Schuirmann

SUBJECT

: Comparative Evaluation on Subs and ASW

- 1. This paper strikes me as being neither fish nor fowl. It is partly a numbers comparison, partly "state of the art", and partly a crude "netting" of our ASN vs. their subs. Moreover, there is not enough "comparing"; long stretches of text deal exclusively with US programs then long stretches with Soviet programs, with only temmous links between the two. There is a great deal of repetition too.
- 2. However, I recognize that the problems cited above stem primarily from the inherent difficulties of doing a "comparative evaluation" in such broad and disparate fields, and should not be laid at the door of the drafters. In addition, many of these problems can be cured in the briefing itself, which should concentrate on the "comparative" aspects and avoid extended discussion of our cwn programs. I believe that we should review Admiral Weakley's briefing notes, as well as this background paper.
- 3. In this connection the general theme that comes through to me is that we are well ahead of the Soviets in state of the art, in subs and especially ASW but that their relatively less sophisticated systems are nonetheless a major threat, especially because of sheer numbers.

R. W. KOMER

ODDI:RWK:JTS
Distribution:

982 - Fwd -#/

3 - RWK Hhrono 444

9 - Com Eval file -#3

- DO/1 - H2